

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,947	YOUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nghia M. Doan	2825	

**All Participants:**

(1) Nghia M. Doan.

(2) Lois D. Cartier Reg. No. 40,941.

**Status of Application:** Non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 7, 12, and 23*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant representative made a provisional election with traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 7-10, 12-17, 19-28, and 30-33. Also, Examiner is allowed to canceled non-selected claims 1-6 and 11 to place the application into allowance condition*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)